UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,183	07/29/2003	Ronald Marsh	U66.12-0005	7201
, 164 KINNEV & 1 A	, 164 7590 01/16/2007 KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.		EXAMINER	
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING		BROADHEAD, BRIAN J	D, BRIAN J	
• +	OUTH THIRD STREET IEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIII VI CE	10, 1411 33 113 1002		3661	
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/629,183	MARSH, RONALD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Broadhead	3661	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The male of the communication appears on the cortes and the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:) g
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) \overline{\text{N}} The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 	ln
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	f e
AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling th non-allowable claim(s).	е
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12,16,19,42,43,47 and 48.	
Claim(s) rejected. 12,70,73,42,43,47 and 40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	 EF
S. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Part of Paper No. 20070110	-
S. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Part of Paper No. 20070110	—)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

THOMAS BLACK THOMAS BLACK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The cited prior art discloses the invention as claimed. With respect to claim 19, the argument is made that Videtich fails to disclose to separate sources of information and that the satellite broadcast is the same as the information from the telematics service center. This position is not supported by what is disclosed in Videtich. In particular, Videtich states that the telematics unit can cal the service management subsystem to obtain addidtional information about any sever weather information already received over the satellite broadcast in paragraph 21. This addidtional information is not the same signal as argued by applicant and does represent a second and different data source as required by the claims. The second argument with repsect to claim 19 deals with what Videtich does or does not display and is also unconvincing. The argument argues a limitation that isn't present. The claim only requires processing of the emergency event data and the GPS data to output information to the display. There is no requirement of simultaneous processing of the digital data and emergency event data to output a display. Also, the reference clearly discloses an indication of the position of the portable alert system and a position of the emergency in paragraphs 4, 5 (last sentence), and 19 (last sentence). The remaining arguments are similar to the arguments for claim 19 and are unconvincing for the same reasons.

(DI)